

# Word posets, with applications to Coxeter groups

Matthew J. Samuel

Rutgers University—New Brunswick

WORDS 2011

- “Word posets” are partially ordered sets that capture the structure of commutation classes of words in monoids
- Allow one to rephrase enumeration questions in terms of reasonably well-understood statistics of partially ordered sets
- Particularly effective when applied to a special type of monoid known as a **Coxeter group**

- A **monoid** generated by a set  $S$  of symbols is the set of equivalence classes of words in  $S$  of finite length (including the empty word) via a particular kind of equivalence relation
- The equivalence relation is determined by relations of the form  $u = v$ , where  $u$  and  $v$  are two words
- $u = v$  means that whenever  $u$  occurs as a contiguous subsequence of some word, it can be replaced with  $v$  to obtain an equivalent word
- **Example:** If  $abc = da$ , then  $dfabc p = dfdap$

# Commutation classes

- If  $a, b \in S$  and  $ab = ba$ , then  $a$  and  $b$  are said to **commute**
- $ab = ba$  is called a **commutation relation**
- If the word  $w'$  can be obtained from  $w$  using only commutation relations, then in the same **commutation class**
- **Example:** If  $ab = ba$ ,  $cd = dc$ , and  $ad = da$ , then the sequence of replacements

$$abcd \rightarrow bacd \rightarrow badc \rightarrow bdac$$

shows that  $abcd$  and  $bdac$  are in the same commutation class

# The idea of word posets

- Again suppose  $ab = ba$ ,  $cd = dc$ , and  $ad = da$
- Then the commutation class of  $abcd$  is  $\{abcd, bacd, badc, bdac, abdc\}$
- “The set of words of length 4 using all of the symbols  $a$ ,  $b$ ,  $c$ , and  $d$  such that  $a$  comes before  $c$  and  $b$  comes before both  $c$  and  $d$ ”
- Partial ordering on the symbols describes the commutation class

- Ordering the symbols themselves is not sufficient in general
- Fix a word, order indices instead
- The partial ordering on  $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$  corresponding to the word  $abcd$  on the previous slide:  $1 < 3$ ,  $2 < 3$ ,  $2 < 4$ , all other pairs of indices incomparable
- The partially ordered set with 4 elements

$$\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$$

together with the labelling

$$1 \mapsto a, 2 \mapsto b, 3 \mapsto c, 4 \mapsto d,$$

form the **word poset** for  $abcd$  in this monoid

# Word posets and commutation classes

- Different words in the same commutation class always give different labellings and may give different partial orderings
- $bdac$  gives  $1 < 2$ ,  $1 < 4$ , and  $3 < 4$ , which is a different partial ordering on  $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$  than for  $abcd$
- However, different word posets for words in the same commutation class are isomorphic in a manner preserving the labelling

- A **word poset** is a pair  $(P, s)$  consisting of a finite partially ordered set  $P$  together with a function  $s : P \rightarrow S$
- Must satisfy the following for all  $x, y \in P$ :
  - If  $s(x)$  and  $s(y)$  are either equal or do not commute, then either  $x \leq y$  or  $y \leq x$
  - If  $x < y$  and there is no  $z$  such that  $x < z < y$ , then  $s(x)$  and  $s(y)$  are either equal or do not commute



# Word posets characterize commutation classes

- If we have two word posets  $(P, s)$  and  $(P', s')$ , then said to be **isomorphic** if there is a bijective function  $f : P \rightarrow P'$  such that  $s'(f(x)) = s(x)$  for all  $x \in P$  and for all  $x, y \in P$  we have  $f(x) \leq f(y)$  if and only if  $x \leq y$  (poset isomorphism preserving the labelling)
- Then

## Theorem

*The isomorphism classes of word posets  $(P, s)$  with  $n$  elements are in one-to-one correspondence with the commutation classes of words in the monoid of length  $n$ .*

# Getting the words back

- If we have a word poset  $(P, s)$  and want to find the words in the commutation class, need to put  $P$  in a linear order and write the symbols in this order according to the labelling
- A **linear extension** of a partially ordered set  $P$  with  $n$  elements is a bijective function  $f : P \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  such that  $f(x) \leq f(y)$  whenever  $x \leq y$  in  $P$
- To every linear extension  $f : P \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  we may associate a word  $w(f)$  such that  $w(f)_i = s(f^{-1}(i))$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$

## Theorem

*The correspondence  $f \mapsto w(f)$  between linear extensions of  $(P, s)$  and words in the associated commutation class is bijective.*

# Counting linear extensions and words

- Word posets can be constructed in polynomial time given monoid relations and a word
- Given a partially ordered set  $P$ , can use  $P$  as an alphabet and the partial ordering to construct monoid relations so that  $P$  is the word poset for any of its linear extensions
- Thus, finding the number of linear extensions of a partially ordered set is polynomial time equivalent to finding the number of elements in a commutation class of words in some monoid

# Computational complexity

- A function problem is said to be in  $\#P$  if it counts the number of solutions to an NP decision problem, and a problem is said to be  $\#P$ -complete if every problem in  $\#P$  can be reduced to it in polynomial time
- The problem of counting linear extensions is known to be  $\#P$ -complete, so it follows that

## Theorem

*The problem of counting the numbers of words in commutation classes of monoids is  $\#P$ -complete.*

- A **Coxeter group** is a monoid on some alphabet  $S$  satisfying the following properties:
  - $aa = a^2$  is equivalent to the empty word (which we will denote by 1) for all  $a \in S$
  - The only other relations are of the form  $aba \cdots = bab \cdots$ , where the left and right sides are words of the same length  $m > 1$  in exactly two symbols  $a, b \in S$  such that no two adjacent symbols are equal
- **Example:** If  $S = \{a, b, c\}$  and  $W$  is determined by  $a^2 = b^2 = c^2 = 1$ ,  $aba = bab$ ,  $bc b = cbc$ , and  $ac = ca$ , then  $W$  is a Coxeter group with 24 elements
- A pair  $(W, S)$ , where  $W$  is a Coxeter group and  $S$  is the generating alphabet, is called a **Coxeter system**

- A word in a Coxeter group is said to be **reduced** if there is no equivalent word of shorter length
- **Example:** if  $aba = bab$  (and  $ab \neq ba$ ), then  $aba$  and  $bab$  are reduced words but  $abab$  is not, because
$$(aba)b = (bab)b = ba(bb) = ba$$
- Coxeter groups generated by an alphabet  $S$  are characterized by the conditions
  - $a^2 = 1$  for all  $a \in S$
  - If a word is not reduced, then some pair of symbols can be deleted to obtain an equivalent word

# Counting reduced words

- If the length of a reduced word is  $n$ , then there are at most  $n!$  equivalent reduced words (this is not obvious)
- In particular, an equivalence class of words in a Coxeter group contains only finitely many reduced words
- Reducing counting linear extensions of a partially ordered set to counting words in a commutation class of a monoid can be done in a Coxeter group whose only relations are commutation relations
- Recognizing reduced words for an element can be done in polynomial time, so counting reduced words is in  $\#P$



# Complexity of counting reduced words

- By the remarks on the previous slide, it follows that

## Theorem

*The problem of counting reduced words of elements of Coxeter groups is  $\#P$ -complete.*

- The best algorithm I know of runs in  $O(n2^n)$ , where  $n$  is the length of the word
- I do not know how to reduce counting reduced words to counting linear extensions in polynomial time

# Formula for counting reduced words

- An element  $w$  in a Coxeter group must have finitely many commutation classes of reduced words
- Let  $WP(w)$  denote the set of word posets corresponding to commutation classes of reduced words for  $w$
- If  $P$  is a partially ordered set, let  $E(P)$  denote the number of linear extensions of  $P$
- Then the number of reduced words for  $w$  is

$$\sum_{(P,s) \in WP(w)} E(P)$$

# Finding the word posets

- Let  $\ell(w)$  denote the length of a reduced word for  $w$
- Recursive method for constructing all reduced word posets for  $w$ :
  - (1) If  $\ell(w) = 0$ , then the only reduced word poset for  $w$  is the empty partially ordered set with its unique labelling
  - (2) If  $a \in S$  is such that  $\ell(aw) < \ell(w)$  and  $(P, s) \in \text{WP}(aw)$ , then adjoin a new element  $x$  to  $P$  with  $s(x) = a$  that is less than a given minimal element  $y \in P$  if and only if  $a$  and  $s(y)$  do not commute to obtain  $(P \cup \{x\}, s) \in \text{WP}(w)$
  - (3) Iterate (2) over all  $a \in S$  such that  $\ell(aw) < \ell(w)$ , at least one of which must exist if  $\ell(w) > 0$

# Counting the word posets

- Let  $C(w) = |\text{WP}(w)|$  denote the number of reduced word posets for  $w$ , or equivalently the number of commutation classes of reduced words
- Explicit construction on previous slide leads to a formula for  $C(w)$ :

$$C(w) = \sum (-1)^{|T|+1} C(Tw)$$

where  $T$  ranges over all nonempty subsets of  $S$  such that

- all elements of  $T$  commute with each other,
- $\ell(aw) < \ell(w)$  for all  $a \in T$ ,

and  $Tw$  denotes the product of  $w$  with all of the elements of  $T$  on the left

# Bounding $C(w)$

- We have the following bound.

## Theorem

*For any element  $w$  of a Coxeter group such that  $\ell(w) > 0$ ,*

$$C(w) \leq \frac{2}{3} 3^{\frac{1}{2}\ell(w)}.$$

- I conjecture that if  $\alpha$  is a constant,  $f(n)$  is a function such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log f(n)}{n} = 0$ , and

$$C(w) \leq f(\ell(w))\alpha^{\ell(w)}$$

for all  $w$ , then  $\alpha \geq 3^{\frac{1}{2}} \approx 1.732$ . Direct computation has shown that at least  $\alpha > 1.715$

# Primitive sorting networks

- The number  $P(n)$  of primitive sorting networks on  $n$  elements is the same as the number of commutation classes of reduced words for a particular element of length  $N_n = \frac{n(n-1)}{2}$
- Thus,  $P(n) \leq \frac{2}{3}3^{\frac{1}{2}N_n}$ , and I can show that  $P(n) \geq P(m)^{\frac{N_n}{N_m}}$  for all  $m$  and infinitely many  $n > m$
- It was previously known that

$$0.23105 \approx \frac{1}{3} \log 2 \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log P(n)}{N_n} \leq \log 2 \approx 0.69315$$

and the facts above together with the computation of  $P(12)$  show that

$$0.53941 \approx \frac{1}{66} \log P(12) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log P(n)}{N_n} \leq \frac{1}{2} \log 3 \approx 0.54931.$$

# Conjecture as to the limit

- These elements seem to have the most commutation classes by their length, so I conjecture that

## Conjecture

*We have*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log P(n)}{N_n} = \frac{1}{2} \log 3.$$